

1.	IN	ITRODUCTION
	1.1	Reporting scope
	1.2	NEW REPORTING TOOL
2.	EN	NVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS
3.	PE	ERFORMANCE DATA FROM REPORTING SITES
	3.1	Complete report for all sites for 2010.
	3.2	Core target follow-up for all sites reporting for 2008-2010
	3.3	DISCUSSION OF CORE TARGET FOLLOW-UP
	3.4	Follow-up for additional targets
	3.5	COMPLETE ENVIRONMENTAL, HEALTH AND SAFETY REPORTS FOR ALL SITES REPORTING 2008-2010
	3.6	Challenges with the Green Company data reporting
ΔP	PFNI	DIX 1 COMPLETE LIST OF LOCATIONS THAT HAVE REPORTED FOR 2010



1. Introduction

Green Company is the name we give to our environmental programme run at our own sites around the globe. It builds on years of environmental initiatives, drawing them together into a coherent programme. More information about Green Company can be found in the Environment section of our corporate website.

This performance analysis includes a comprehensive data section covering in total 67 in-house locations. The data is presented in tables and charts with the focus on inclusion of substantial data that gives a picture of the current status of the environmental performance. Additionally, a follow-up of the Green Company targets, approved at the end of 2009, has been completed for the locations that have been reporting environmental data for the three years from 2008 to 2010.

1.1 Reporting scope

In 2010, the reporting scope was increased significantly to cover all major in-house emissions. The adidas Group occupies more than 300 offices, manufacturing sites and distribution centres worldwide. Some of these are owned by the adidas Group, some are leased or rented. The majority of these are quite small and don't cause significant emissions. Due to office re-locations during the year – a natural course of events for a company like ours – it is not possible to keep a perfect up-to-date list of all our locations.

We decided to aim at including around 80% of our total global emissions and to approximate this, we selected locations that cover around 80% of the total area we occupy. Over the course of the year our approximation proved to be quite accurate.

The actual selection of reporting sites was based upon the inclusion of all main headquarters locations with more than 2,000 square metres, all manufacturing entities as well as all distribution centres larger than 3,000 square metres.

In several of our leased office locations we pay a monthly leasing fee, with all resource use included in the fee and at some of these locations our landlords cannot tell us what our consumption is. This is true even for some of our larger offices that cannot report their resource consumption.



1.2 New reporting tool

In order to facilitate the reporting of all these sites – nearly 2/3 of them are new for the reporting period of 2010 – we developed and implemented a new web-based reporting tool with automatic plausibility-checking and comment controls as well as statistical analysis and reporting functions.

2. Environmental Management Systems

The adidas Group encourages the certification of ISO 14001 systems both in our supply chain and for our own sites. In 2010 five North American sites as well as the central headquarters function achieved ISO 14001 certification. Read more about this on the Certification page in the Green Company section of our Sustainability Report 2010.

Below is a complete list of our in-house EMAS and ISO 14001 certified locations.

Name of site	Region	EMS standard	Certified since
adidas Footwear Factory, Scheinfeld, Germany	EMEA	EMAS	1998
adidas Apparel Factory, Suzhou, China	APAC	ISO 14001	2002
adidas Group Headquarters functions for Green Company	GLOBAL	ISO 14001	2010
adidas Office, Portland, America	AMERICAS	ISO 14001	2010
Reebok Headquarters, Canton, USA	AMERICAS	ISO 14001	2010
TaylorMade-adidas Golf Headquarters, Carlsbad, USA	AMERICAS	ISO 14001	2010
adidas Group Distribution Centre, Spartanburg, USA	AMERICAS	ISO 14001	2010
Reebok-CCM Hockey Headquarters and Distribution Centre, Montreal, Canada	AMERICAS	ISO 14001	2010

Table 1: In-house EMAS and ISO 14001 certified locations



3. Performance data from reporting sites

3.1 Complete report for all sites for 2010

In this section we present all data reported for the calendar year of 2010. Since the majority of these locations did not report in 2008 or 2009 there is no target follow-up possible for this total group of reporters. For the smaller group of reporters, though, reporting for the three consecutive years of 2008, 2009 and 2010, a comprehensive target follow-up has been made. This is presented in section 3.2.

	Total energy (MWh)	Total carbon (tonne)	Total water (m3)	Total household waste (tonne)	Total paper (tonne)	Total organic solvents (kg)	Total waste water (m³)	Number of employees	Number of workplace accidents	Number of lost days due to accidents
Administration offices										
Region EMEA	44,000	12,500	56,500	320	110	190	32,200	6,353	30	353
Region AMERICAS	37,800	16,400	93,200	950	150	8,430	n.r.	3,216	10	870
Region APAC	8,100	4,300	15,500	90	80	n.r.	n.r.	2,195	2	65
Administration offices (total)	89,900	33,200	165,200	1,360	340	8,620	32,200	11,764	42	1,288
Own production sites										
Region EMEA	11,100	900	4,700	150	20	16,050	4,700	370	12	94
Region AMERICAS	33,500	10,400	40,600	660	320	12,060	n.r.	1,767	73	834
Region APAC	700	400	2,400	3	3	n.r.	n.r.	270	0	0
Own production sites (total)	45,300	11,700	47,700	810	340	28,110	4,700	2,407	85	928
Distribution centres										
Region EMEA	23,100	8,100	24,600	230	470	100	9,500	1,216	26	306
Region AMERICAS	62,800	22,100	71,600	860	200	120	32,000	2,454	84	2,557
Region APAC	6,000	3,700	46,600	150	40	n.r.	1,600	1,390	4	17
Distribution centres (total)	91,900	33,900	142,800	1,240	710	220	43,100	5,060	114	2,880
TOTAL	227,100	78,800	355,700	3,410	1,390	36,950	80,000	19,231	241	5,096

Table 2: Environmental data 2010 for all locations reporting Group-wide: administration offices, production sites and distribution centres

n.r.: not reported



3.2 Core target follow-up for all sites reporting for 2008-2010

The table below shows the aggregated target results for the locations that have been reporting since 2008. This table aims to give an overview regarding target achievements for relevant quantified savings targets, the so-called core targets. The table therefore shows the 2015 target, the linear (or predefined) target for the year of 2010 and the actual result against the 2010-relevant target for all these reporting entities. All results that have been marked green represent targets that have been achieved. The table also shows the total result for all these reporters, and the results per facility type: administration office, own production site and distribution centre.

	Energy	savings M	IWh/m²	Carb	on savings	t/m²	Water s	avings m³	/person	Househo	ld waste r t/person		Paper savings t/person			
	Target 2015	Target linear	Result 2010	Target 2015	Target linear	Result 2010	Target 2015	Target linear	Result 2010	Target 2015	Target linear	Result 2010	Target 2015	Target 2010	Result 2010	
Administration offices	-20%	-5.7%	0.5%	-30%	-8.6%	5.3%	-20%	-5.7%	-23.1%	-25%	-7.1%	-23.3%	-50%	-20.0%	-24.5%	
Own production sites	-20%	-5.7%	6.5%	-30%	-8.6%	-5.4%	-20%	-5.7%	9.0%	-25%	-7.1%	-5.1%	-50%	-20.0%	-12.4%	
Distribution centres	-20%	-5.7%	-15.9%	-30%	-8.6%	-10.2%	-20%	-5.7%	10.6%	-25%	-7.1%	-9.8%	-50%	-20.0%	12.7%	
Total	-20%	-5.7%	-0.4%	-30%	-8.6%	0.7%	-20%	-5.7%	-11.8%	-25%	-7.1%	-16.7%	-50%	-20.0%	-17.7%	

Table 3: Aggregated target results 2008-2010

Green: achieved
Yellow: not achieved/ not on track

The complete reports of the locations that were reporting for the entire period 2008-2010 can be seen in section 3.5.



3.3 Discussion of core target follow-up

Assumptions made for the core target follow-up

The assumptions made and decisions taken in order to develop the target follow-up in section 3.2 are listed below:

- The first group of locations has been reporting since 2008. Only the locations that have operated during all three full calendar years from 2008 to 2010 have been included.
- At the end of 2009 Green Company targets for our in-house operations were set and published. See a full list of these targets in the Environment section of our corporate website.
- Although the targets were communicated only towards the end of 2009, we have decided to use 2008 as a baseline year for this group of reporters.
- For the next follow-up (in 2012 for the 2011 results) of the targets we will need to set the baseline year to 2010 for the group of all locations. Individually, though, the group of 2008 reporters will be tracked with the year 2008 as a baseline.

Trend analysis

The table in section 3.2. shows that our locations, their facility managers and all employees on site, have been quite successful in setting out the direction to reach most of our 2015 targets.

- Energy consumption stayed stable, both in terms of energy and carbon per square metre. Several locations have been conducting energy audits and developing detailed action plans that will be implemented throughout 2011. The results of these plans will be seen only in 2011 or even 2012.
- The targeted linear reduction for water consumption should have been 5.7%. In reality 11.8% reduction was achieved, twice as much as anticipated.
- For household waste the targeted linear decrease towards the 2015 target should have been 7% and we achieved a saving of 16.7% per employee.
- The paper consumption target per employee, set to 20% for 2010, has not been achieved. Nonetheless, the 17.7% reduction we did achieve shows that we are on track for our 2015 target.

While the total results for all locations and types of facilities showed quite a positive development, there remain areas where individual facility sites will need to improve over the next few years, in addition to the targets that are not yet on track.

Obviously, the locations that are reporting for the first time in 2010 might not have a complete picture of their savings potential yet. We need to support them in defining their programmes for 2011 and help them with guidelines on how to implement plans and meet targets. All locations will also be updated regularly and briefed about best practice examples.



Moving forward, there is potential to develop baselines and guidance specific to the different facility types. It is clear that the KPIs result in very different actual values depending on the facility type. We also anticipate that the baseline KPIs for 2010 as a whole might differ from the baseline KPIs for 2008, due to the change in the mix of different facility types. In any case, with more locations reporting, the reporting itself should become more robust over time and the KPIs more balanced.

3.4 Follow-up for additional targets

The additional targets set as part of the Green Company initiative relate to Mobility, Purchasing and Canteens, Green Buildings and Employees. For a detailed list of all the targets related to these areas, please see the Environment section of our corporate website.

Mobility and business trips

Business trips and the associated emissions is quite a challenging area to manage due to business needs. We anticipate that we will be monitoring the trends and developing potential measures where needed and deemed appropriate by the business. There is potential to improve the data coverage and accuracy and this will be the main focus in 2011. See also the data presented on the Global Travel page in the Green Company section of our Sustainability Report 2010.

A Green Company car policy

This has been developed for German business entities. All employees that are entitled to a company car and choose a more environmentally friendly car receive an additional car bonus. This policy will be adapted for the entire Group in 2011.

Phasing out one-way canteen dishes

While reusable dishes have been introduced in many locations a complete removal of disposable canteen dishes has proven a complex topic with lots of limitations. Local conditions need to be investigated and understood. For example at Group headquarters in Herzogenaurach we discovered a constraint related to the potential hygiene problems of using personal re-usable mugs for takeaway coffees. At the moment other solutions are being investigated.

Green buildings

A general guideline has been developed for green buildings. This guideline will be further developed and applied to new building projects in 2011.

Green Teams

There are 10-12 Green Teams at adidas Group locations around the world. However, there is a long way to go before we have a Green Team at every location with more than 50 employees. In 2010 several locations celebrated Earth Day together and this is planned for 2011 as well.



3.5 Complete environmental, health and safety reports for all sites reporting 2008-2010

We have 24 sites that have reported since 2008. In 2010 we added more sites to the Green Company reporting as described in chapter 1.1. Additionally, we decided to split out the data from the different buildings at our Headquarters in Herzogenaurach, Germany and our manufacturing site in Scheinfeld, Germany. This split allows for more accurate reporting and follow-up on the targets. As a consequence the list below shows 28 sites instead of 24 as in our reports of 2008 and 2009.

One other change within this group of reporting sites is that we removed our distribution centre in Bradford, United States, as this site was closed in 2010. Instead we included our distribution centre in Uffenheim, Germany, as the data for the years of 2008-2010 was available.

The following table presents environmental data for the locations that have been reporting for the years 2008, 2009 and 2010 respectively. The results for the four Spartanburg locations are listed separately. The reason is that all of them have been either starting up or closing down during the past three years and therefore have incomplete reporting coverage (based on calendar years) in that period.

The subsequent table shows health and safety data for all 28 locations, for the years 2008, 2009 and 2010.

Name of site	Tota	l energy c	Total energy consumption (MWh)			Total carbon emissions (tonne)					onsumptio	on (m3)	Tot	tal househ	old waste I	(tonne)	Tota	l paper co	nsumption	(tonne)	Total organic solvents (kg)		
	2008	2009	2010	Total savings (MWh/m²) 2008-2010	2008	2009	2010	Total savings (t/m²) 2008-2010	2008	2009	2010	Total savings (m³/person) 2008-2010	2008	2009	2010	Total savings (t/person) 2008-2010	2008	2009	2010	Total savings (t/person) 2008-2010	2008	2009	2010
administration offices adidas Group Headquarters - WOS Herzogenaurach, Germany	11,551	13,192	15,550	9.6%	3,908	4.401	5,524	15.3%	31,922	29,918	29,056	-9.0%	164	154	151	-8.1%	52	39	18	-63.0%	287	n.r.	9
adidas Group Headquarters - ADP Herzogenaurach, Germany	9,611	9,964	9,907	3.1%	2,390	2,550	2,450	2.6%	10,219	7,720	7,803	-25.2%	54	44	47	-14.6%	30	27	11	-66.7%	n.r.	n.r.	180
adidas Group Headquarters - WOGIT Herzogenaurach, Germany	1,277	1,166	1,322	3.5%	288	272	186	-34.6%	2,905	1,830	1,892	-36.2%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.a.	15	13	5	-66.7%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
adidas Factory Outlet, Herzogenaurach, Germany	2,169	2,236	2,204	1.8%	500	527	421	-15.4%	2,541	2,534	2,183	-14.1%	28	23	25	-10.1%	4	4	1	-66.7%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
adidas Office, Portland, America ^{1]}	7,830	7,770	7,367	-9.2%	3,907	3,521	3,862	-4.8%	36,000	43,000	19,442	-46.0%	57	15	141	146.3%	16	16	14	-15.8%	n.r.	120	111
Reebok Headquarters, Canton, USA	25,950	26,728	24,384	-6.7%	9,496	10,707	9,704	1.2%	35,000	35,000	31,845	27.8%	552	397	399	1.5%	48	62	73	110.0%	n.r.	1,000	23
TaylorMade-adidas Golf Headquarters, Carlsbad, USA ^{2]}	5,215	4,930	4,754	-12.8%	2,390	2,330	2,343	-6.7%	43,000	40,000	35,600	-30.4%	602	432	387	-45.8%	17	11	10	-50.0%	14,000	10,000	8,292
TaylorMade-adidas Golf Office, Koto-ku, Japan ^{3]}	257	254	242	-6.4%	143	141	101	-28.9%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.a.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.a.	4	4	4	4.8%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
adidas Group, Office, Shanghai, China	n.a.	788	857	3.0%	n.a.	502	547	2.4%	n.a.	n.r.	n.r.	n.a.	n.a.	n.r.	n.r.	n.a.	n.a.	5	8	87.5%	n.a.	n.r.	n.r.
administration offices (total)	63,861	67,028	66,587	0.5%	23,022	24,951	25,139	5.3%	161,587	160,002	127,821	-23.1%	1,458	1,066	1,150	-23.3%	186	181	145	-24.5%	14,287	11,120	8,615
own production sites																							
adidas Footwear Factory, Scheinfeld 1, Germany ^{4]}	6,029	5,052	6,101	1.2%	1,281	1,086	542	-57.6%	1,709	1,400	1,250	-26.9%	4	59	33	688.9%	2	1	4	92.3%	n.r.	7,000	6,050
adidas Footwear Factory, Scheinfeld 2, Germany ^{4]}	1,846	2,320	2,505	35.7%	475	592	255	-45.0%	2,581	2,936	2,531	-1.9%	3	21	20	650.0%	n.r.	n.r.	2	n.a.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
adidas Apparel Factory, Suzhou, China	426	418	427	-3.1%	272	267	272	-3.6%	1,000	1,000	1,846	99.8%	3	3	3	9.1%	3	3	3	9.1%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
adidas Canada Assembly Factory, Brantford, Canada	595	721	742	24.9%	166	140	209	26.3%	454	459	1,000	76.8%	14	15	15	-13.0%	27	28	30	-12.4%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
Reebok-CCM Hockey Factory, St. Hyacinthe, Canada	2,976	2,691	2,516	-15.5%	197	186	161	-20.0%	5,000	5,000	5,174	12.4%	25	30	28	20.7%	26	26	22	-8.8%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
Reebok-CCM Hockey Factory, St. Jean, Canada	5,831	6,155	5,287	-9.4%	611	666	495	-19.5%	2,000	4,000	3,200	76.4%	69	57	78	24.1%	2	2	2	28.6%	n.r.	n.r.	3,508
Reebok-CCM Hockey Factory, Tammela, Finland	2,483	2,217	2,536	2.2%	372	138	146	-61.1%	1,000	1,000	900	-10.0%	213	53	101	-52.6%	3	3	3	0.0%	8,000	5,000	10,000
Reebok-CCM Hockey Factory, Malung, Sweden	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.a.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.a.	6,000	8,000	n.r.	n.a.	1	1	n.r.	n.a.	10	10	10	45.4%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
Sports Licensed Division, Factory, Indianapolis, USA	19,676	19,631	18,450	-6.3%	7,807	7,670	7,284	-6.9%	22,325	22,404	27,413	11.1%	455	323	485	-3.6%	323	323	258	-27.7%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
Sports Licensed Division, Factory, Mattapoisett, USA	1,201	1,183	1,141	-4.3%	514	501	479	-7.5%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.a.	27	30	27	1.6%	8	8	7	-12.3%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
Sports Licensed Division, Factory, Cedar Rapids, USA	5,349	4,654	5,355	0.0%	1,756	1,441	1,757	0.8%	3,000	3,000	3,837	62.0%	75	37	23	-61.7%	7	3	3	-45.7%	7,000	7,000	8,554
TaylorMade Assembly Factory, Togane-Shi, Japan ^{7]}	460	313	321	120.6%	192	131	134	123.3%	1,000	1,000	561	205.4%	13	11	n.r.	n.a.	2	1	0	-14.3%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
own production sites (total)	46,872	45,355	45,381	6.5%	13,643	12,817	11,735	-5.4%	46,069	50,199	47,712	9.0%	902	639	813	-5.1%	413	408	344	-12.4%	15,000	19,000	28,112
distribution centres	•		•			ī	ī	ī			1								•	ī			
adidas Distribution Centre, Uffenheim, Germany	6,976	6,404	6,396	-8.4%	1,644	1,542	1,611	-1.8%	2,400	2,064	1,936	-19.3%	27	2	67	147.2%	n.r.	n.r.	2	n.a.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
Reebok-CCM Hockey, Headquarters and Distribution Centre, Montreal, Canada	11,184	10,360	8,750	-21.7%	860	872	649	-25.0%	28,000	25,000	16,646	-32.4%	160	107	95	-32.5%	19	16	17	2.6%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
adidas Group Distribution Centre, Suzhou, China	2,287	2,078	2,035	-13.2%	1,459	1,326	1,298	-12.5%	19,000	20,000	33,000	78.2%	3	4	n.r.	n.a.	4	5	5	28.6%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
distribution centres (total)	20,447	18,842	17,182	-15.9%	3,963	3,740	3,558	-10.2%	49,400	47,064	51,582	10.6%	190	113	162	-9.8%	23	21	24	12.7%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
TOTAL	131,180	131,225	129,149	-0.4%	40,627	41,508	40,432	0.7%	257,056	257,265	227,115	-11.8%	2,549	1,818	2,125	-16.7%	622	610	513	-17.7%	29,287	30,120	36,727

Table 4: Environmental data for the administration offices, own production sites and distribution centres that report since 2008

Name of site	Tota	Total energy consumption (MWh)				Total carbon emissions (tonne)			Total water consumption (m3)			Total household waste (tonne)				Total paper consumption (tonne)				Total organic solvents (kg)			
	2008	2009	2010	Total savings (MWh/m²) 2008-2010	2008	2009	2010	Total savings (t/m²) 2008-2010		2009	2010	Total savings (m³/person) 2008-2010	2008	2009	2010	Total savings (t/person) 2008-2010	2008	2009	2010	Total savings (t/person) 2008-2010	2008	2009	2010
adidas Group, Administrative Service Building 3, Spartanburg, USA	653	1,020	1,257	96.7%	261	408	503	97.9%	0	5,000	6,300	21.4%	9	17	19	113.0%	15	16	12	-16.9%	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
adidas Group, Distribution Centre 1 (Apparel), Spartanburg, USA	2,873	12,690	12,296	329.7%	1,149	5,076	4,918	326.7%	1,000	9,000	9,716	880.0%	115	94	153	34.8%	8	16	10	30.0%	1,000	n.r.	68
adidas Group, Distribution Centre 2 (Footwear), Spartanburg, USA ^{5]}	n.a.	7,279	14,291	95.6%	n.a.	2,912	5,717	96.3%	n.a.	9,000	9,955	-0.8%	n.a.	43	69	44.1%	n.a.	16	16	-9.1%	n.a.	n.r.	57
adidas America, Office/Distribution Centre 4 (Footwear), Spartanburg, USA ⁶¹	6,898	3,841	1,980	-71.2%	2,759	1,536	792	-71.7%	13,000	1,000	563	157.1%	75	6	n.r.	-63.9%	23	5	n.r.	-70.8%	1,000	1,000	n.r.

Table 5: Environmental data for the distribution centre in Spartanburg, USA

n.a. = not applicable n.r. = not reported

^{1]} Site was named 'adidas America Inc., Portland, USA' in previous report

^{2]} Figures cover TaylorMade Headquarters and assembly factory

^{3]} Site was named 'TaylorMade-adidas Golf Office, Japan' in previous report

^{4]} Site was named 'adidas Footwear Factory, Scheinfeld, Germany' in previous report, now split into Scheinfeld 1 and Scheinfeld 2

^{5]} Site started operating in 2009

^{6]} Site was closed in 2010

 $^{^{7]}}$ Production in factory was stopped

Name of site		Number of employees		wor	Number of kplace accid	ents	Number of lost days due to accidents				
Year	2008	2009	2010	2008	2009	2010	2008	2009	2010		
Administration offices											
adidas Group Headquarters - WOS Herzogenaurach, Germany	1903 ^{8]}	1681 ^{8]}	1903 ^{8]}	18	20	9	79	136	52		
adidas Group Headquarters - ADP Herzogenaurach, Germany	1122 ^{8]}	1146 ^{8]}	1146 ^{8]}	3	10	3	14	25	37		
adidas Group Headquarters - WOGIT Herzogenaurach, Germany	563 ^{8]}	575 ^{8]}	575 ^{8]}	1	2	0	5	4	0		
adidas Factory Outlet, Herzogenaurach, Germany	150 ^{8]}	150 ^{8]}	150 ^{8]}	3	3	4	21	11	24		
adidas Office, Portland, America ^{1]}	850	850	850	0	10	0	0	112	0		
Reebok Headquarters, Canton, USA	1,061	1,120	1,153	0	0	2	0	0	12		
TaylorMade-adidas Golf Headquarters, Carlsbad, USA ^{2]}	848	725	870	4	4	4	17	58	47		
TaylorMade-adidas Golf Office, Koto-ku, Japan ^{3]}	190	145	157	0	1	0	0	27	0		
adidas Group, Administrative Service Building 3, Spartanburg, USA	180	180	192	0	0	0	0	0	0		
adidas Group, Office, Shanghai, China	600	600	490	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Administration offices (total)	7,467	7,172	7,486	29	50	22	136	373	172		
Own production sites											
adidas Footwear Factory, Scheinfeld 1, Germany ^{4]}	155 ^{8]}	134 ^{8]}	155 ^{8]}	3	2	5	7	18	43		
adidas Footwear Factory, Scheinfeld 2, Germany ^{4]}	67 ^{8]}	67 ^{8]}	67 ^{8]}	4	9	5	27	57	44		
adidas Apparel Factory, Suzhou, China	260	264	243	0	0	0	0	0	0		
adidas Canada Assembly Factory, Brantford, Canada	61	64	76	0	2	1	0	8	7		
Reebok-CCM Hockey Factory, St. Hyacinthe, Canada	152	150	140	1	2	8	18	23	10		
Reebok-CCM Hockey Factory, St. Jean, Canada	140	127	127	3	12	10	117	449	773		
Reebok-CCM Hockey Factory, Tammela, Finland	99	64	72	2	2	1	10	7	3		
Reebok-CCM Hockey Factory, Malung, Sweden	141	110	76	1	0	1	10	0	4		
Sports Licensed Division, Factory, Indianapolis, USA	950	823	1,204	0	12	52	0	181	23		
Sports Licensed Division, Factory, Mattapoisett, USA	109	106	108	1	0	0	2	0	2		
Sports Licensed Division, Factory, Cedar Rapids, USA	173	108	112	3	2	2	6	141	19		
TaylorMade Assembly Factory, Togane-Shi, Japan ^{7]}	147	147	27	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Own production sites (total)	2,454	2,164	2,407	18	43	85	197	884	928		
Distribution centres											
adidas Distribution Centre, Uffenheim, Germany	250 ^{8]}	250 ^{8]}	250 ^{8]}	n.r.	n.r.	16	n.r.	n.r.	147		
adidas Group, Distribution Centre 1 (Apparel), Spartanburg, USA	815	725	808	0	1	4	0	2	64		
adidas Group, Distribution Centre 2 (Footwear), Spartanburg, USA ^{5]}	n.a.	50	808	n.a.	0	5	n.a.	0	175		
adidas America, Office/Distribution Centre 4 (Footwear), Spartanburg, USA ^{6]}	475	400	8	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Reebok-CCM Hockey, Headquarters and Distribution Centre, Montreal, Canada	500	440	179	54	39	22	982	1,283	1,612		
adidas Group Distribution Centre, Suzhou, China	590	485	575	14	8	3	172	54	9		
Distribution centres (total)	2,630	2,399	2,603	68	48	50	1,154	1,339	2,007		
TOTAL	12,551	11,735	12,496	115	141	157	1,487	2,596	3,107		

Table 6: Health & Safety data 2008-2010

^{1]} Site was named 'adidas America Inc., Portland, USA' in previous report
2] Figures cover TaylorMade Headquarters and assembly factory
3] Site was named 'TaylorMade-adidas Golf Office, Japan' in previous report
4] Site was named 'adidas Footwear Factory, Scheinfeld, Germany' in previous report, now split into Scheinfeld 1 and Scheinfeld 2

^{5]} Site started operating in 2009

⁶⁾ Site was closed in 2010

^{7]} Production in factory was stopped

^{8]} Number of workplaces at site

n.a. = not applicable

n.r. = not reported



3.6 Challenges with the Green Company data reporting

The reporting year of 2010 was an important year. Many new reporting entities came onboard and at the same time we implemented a new web-based reporting tool. Below we list a few of the challenges we have encountered over the two-to-three month reporting period, which was preceded by around two months of planning and specifying the tool and another month of testing the tool.

- The majority of sites (2/3) had not previously been enrolled into the Green Company Initiative and were not familiar with the specific targets and the reporting scope.
- Depending on the size of the locations only a small number of the sites have designated environmental managers or dedicated facility managers.
- For many reporters it is quite challenging and time-consuming to pull together environmental data from different stakeholders internally.
- Some locations had not established any clear data reporting systems in-house at all.
- Most facilities receive the annual consumption figures from their utility provider only towards the end of January or even the beginning of February
 for the previous calendar year. This leads to an extremely short actual time window to report.
- For many of the leased sites it is quite a challenge to get hold of numbers.
- This also leads, subsequently, to a very short time window for the Group reporting function within Green Company to verify all the data, to correct any incorrect data, test submitted data for plausibility, and to complete all the necessary reports and develop the discussion about the results.
- There were several obvious benefits with the new tool. The automatic plausibility check for returning reporters (we had imported all 2008 and 2009 data for this group of reporters) is very helpful. It demands an explanation if the reported values exceed or go below previous reported values by more than 20%.
- The reporting has been a rewarding exercise and has equipped us with the right tools to drive environmental reporting further and thereby maximize the opportunities for savings and good environmental practices and results.

Herzogenaurach, March 22, 2011



Appendix 1 Complete list of locations that have reported for 2010

ADMINISTRATION OFFICES

Region EMEA

adidas Group Headquarters - WOS Herzogenaurach, Germany

adidas Group Headquarters - ADP Herzogenaurach, Germany

adidas Group Headquarters - WOGIT

Herzogenaurach, Germany

adidas Factory Outlet Herzogenaurach, Germany

adidas Group Office, Stockport, United Kingdom

adidas Group Office, Moscow Russia

adidas France Office, Landersheim

adidas Office, Klagenfurt, Austria

adidas Office, Cham, Switzerland

adidas Office, Zaragoza, Spain

adidas Office, Zaragoza, Span

adidas Office, Monza, Italy

adidas Office, Solna, Sweden

adidas Office, Istanbul, Turkey

Reebok France Office, Voisins le Bretonneux

Region AMERICAS

adidas Office, Portland, America Reebok Headquarters Canton, USA TaylorMade-adidas Golf Headquarters Carlsbad, USA adidas Group Administrative Service Building 3, Spartanburg, USA adidas Office, Woodbridge, Canada adidas Office. Baueri. Brasil

Region APAC

adidas Group Office, Shanghai, China adidas Office, Taikoo Shing, Hong Kong adidas Office, Ohbunsha Building Kita-kann, Shinjuku-ku, Japan adidas Office, Seoul, South Korea adidas Office, Pasig City, Philippines adidas Office, Taipei, Taiwan adidas Office, Singapore, Singapore TaylorMade-adidas Golf Office, Koto-ku, Japan

OWN PRODUCTION SITES

Region EMEA

adidas Footwear Factory, Scheinfeld 1, Germany adidas Footwear Factory, Scheinfeld 2, Germany Reebok-CCM Hockey Factory, Tammela, Finland Reebok-CCM Hockey Factory, Malung, Sweden

Region AMERICAS

adidas Canada Assembly Factory, Brantford, Canada Reebok-CCM Hockey Factory, St. Hyacinthe, Canada Reebok-CCM Hockey Factory, St. Jean, Canada Sports Licensed Division Factory, Indianapolis, USA Sports Licensed Division Factory, Mattapoisett, USA Sports Licensed Division Factory, Cedar Rapids, USA

Region APAC

adidas Apparel Factory, Suzhou, China TaylorMade Assembly Factory, Togane-Shi, Japan

DISTRIBUTION CENTRES

Region EMEA

adidas Distribution Centre, Uffenheim, Germany adidas Distribution Centre, Caspe, Spain adidas Distribution Centre, Dettwiller, France adidas Distribution Centre, Manchester, United Kingdom adidas Distribution Centre, Klimovsk, Russia adidas Distribution Centre, Obukhiv, Ukraine adidas Distribution Centre, Canot, Israel TaylorMade-adidas Golf Distribution Centre, Basingstoke, United Kingdom

Region AMERICAS

adidas Group Distribution Centre 1 (Apparel), Spartanburg, USA adidas Group Distribution Centre 2 (Footwear), Spartanburg, USA adidas America, Office/Distribution Centre 4 (Footwear), Spartanburg, USA Reebok-CCM Hockey Headquarters and Distribution Centre, Montreal, Canada TaylorMade-adidas Golf US Distribution Centre. Westminster, USA adidas Distribution Centre, Adams Boulevard, Brantford, Canada adidas Distribution Centre, Elgin Street, Brantford, adidas Distribution Centre. Embu. Brasil adidas Distribution Centre, Pudahuel, Chile adidas Distribution Centre, Cuautitlan Izcalli, Mexico

Region APAC

adidas Group Distribution Centre, Suzhou, China adidas Distribution Centre, Hong Kong, Hong Kong adidas Distribution Centre, Icheon, Korea Reebok Distribution Centre, Icheon, Korea adidas Distribution Centre, Gurgaon 1, India adidas Distribution Centre, Gurgaon 2, India adidas Distribution Centre, Taoyuan, Taiwan adidas Distribution Centre, Mulgrave, Australia adidas Distribution Centre, Auckland, New Zealand